Adolfo Marina Riebeling, Et Al 4600 Jerry Ave. Baldwin Park, California 91706 Unsecured Creditor In Pro Se

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

a

23

24

25

26

27

28

JUL 07 2020

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

SAN FRANCISCO, CA

## UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

7	
0	In re:
1	PG&E CORPORATION,
2	Debtor.
3	In re:
4	
.5	PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY,
6	Debtor.
7	Affects PG&E Corporation
.8	_x_ Affects Pacific Gas and
.9	Electric Company
20	Affects Both Debtors.
21	All papers shall be filed in the
.2	Lead Case No. 18-30088 (DM)
20 21 22	All papers shall be filed in the

Case No.:3:19-bk-30088 (Lead Case) Chapter 11 Case No.:3:19-bk-30089 Chapter 11 **UNSECURED CREDITOR CLAIM NO. 7301** 

NOTICE THAT THIS CREDITOR WILL RECOVER ANY JUDGEMENT OBTAINED IN ANY OTHER VENUE, THROUGH THE CLAIM PROCESS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE TERMS OF CONFIRMED PLAN OF REORGANIZATION, OR LACK THEREOF, OR DEFICIENT, NOT AGREED UPON BY ALL CREDITORS, CONSTRUED AS UNRESOLVED AND OUTSTANDING CLAIMS; THAT THIS LIFT OF AUTOMATIC STAY CANNOT PRECLUDE THIS CREDITOR TO ENFORCE SUBSEQUENTLY OBTAINED JUDGEMENT AGAINST DEBTOR, DUE TO PREVIOUSLY, IN THIS COURT, ASSERTED AS "NO" TO ANY PLAN'S TERM AND "NO" TO ANY PLAN OF REORGANIZATION.

## TO THE COURT AND ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

The undersigned Creditor", In Pro Se, hereby notify this Court, that "Everyone has right to be involved in this bankruptcy process - especially individual Creditors, poisoned with Debtor's byproducts", and that this Creditor previously asserted as not agreeable to any plan.

27

28

This Creditor, strongly believe, that the Hon. Dennis Montali recollects Anderson, et al. v. Pacific Gas and Electric. file BCV 00300. (Judicial Notice).

This Creditor, strongly believe, (another Judicial Notice) that the Hon. Dennis Montali recollects that the Debtor is also a Convicted Felon, due to endless and countless severe and "draconian" in nature gross negligence acts, such as San Bruno Gas Explosion, Fire Victims, and a myriad of others, and obviously recollects one of oldest gross negligence on this planet, being the ongoing murder of innocent inhabitants in the town of Hinkley. California, that is now almost 70 years in complaints, and all of these draconian acts. since 1992 and subsequently since 2013 to present, that were evidenced by the massive cover-up on this planet by the State of California Lahontan Regional Water Quality Control Board, should bring light to the end of the tunnel. Well, partially, but better than nothing at all.

This Creditor noticed the difference between the artful and thwarted language as to what the Debtor asserted (quote): "....on the condition that such grant of relief from stay does not permit the Hinkley Movants to enforce any judgment..." they might obtain against the Utility, versus what the Hon. Dennis Montali, Presiding Judge asserted (quote): "This order does not permit Movants to enforce any judgment they might obtain against Debtors during the pendency of this case." There is a huge difference, "enforce any judgement at any time, versus "enforce judgment during the pendency of this case, A "draconian" Chapter 11 Case. Thank you Honorable Dennis Montali, Presiding.

As always, this Debtor have attempted to inject this Debtor mouth into Judges' mouths, just name the Judge, initially in the mouths of the confused by this Debtor judges in the U.S. District Court Central District of California – Riverside, causing the Judges at the District Court to improperly dismiss all Plaintiffs' Complaints, now in the Ninth Circuit, by throwing out of the doors of that Court all of Plaintiff's Complaints, not bases on any true, genuine and uncontroverted evidence, but on "fake narrative" injected by the unscrupulous counsels representing the Defendant - this Debtor, and most definitely will again notoriously brainwash Ninth Circuit Court three judges, and inject Defendant's (this Debtor) mouth into the panel of the three judges. Count on it. Never ending "saga". Judgement day is soon.

Filed: 07/07/20 Entered: 07/08/20 12:16:17 Page 2 of Case: 19-30088 Doc# 8310

26

27

28

249 (Bankr. E.D. Mo. 1990).

To that end, not only Creditor's attorneys for other victims, but Creditors In Pro Se, commonly provide information as to pros and cons of any plan to ensure that votes are made with all information having been considered. However, courts should not allow a party to circumvent the system by proposing or suggesting multiple plans, and conditions to stipulation, including but not limited to conditions which effectively deprives this Creditor to due process, not only in this Court, but in the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, and on remand this Creditor will be disenfranchised from voting on any plan, which has neither gained court approval nor been subject to adequate disclosure. Not to be dissuaded, Debtor has refashioned not only his disdain for Debtors' Plan by hot providing the full picture to this Court, nothing is further from the truth. In fact, Debtor may be the party providing inaccurate information in an attempt to garner support for a "plan" that is not court-approved and likely subject to sanctions under the very authority cited. Section § 1125(b) provides once a disclosure statement has been approved by a bankruptcy court and transmitted to creditors along with a plan or reorganizations, plan acceptances or rejections can be solicited. 11 U.S.C. §1125(b). A soliciting party, not limited to this Creditor, may without prior court approval—(1) offer a narrative, evidence, conclusions, or opinions contrary to that enunciated in the plan or disclosure statement; (2) assert positions, evidence, conclusions, or opinions of relevant matters which are not contained in the plan or court-approved disclosure statement; or (3) offer evidence or opinions of an alternative liquidation analysis, since the debtors have a

## CONCLUSION

liquidation analysis as part of their disclosure statement. In re Apex Oil Co., 111 B.R. 245,

For all of the reasons above, which are not exclusive, unless massive Volume are place before the bench, and since this Creditor-Plaintiff-Victim of Crime, assertions were ignored and all objection to confirmation, and as to any plan to be confirmed, ware not included, nor any voting was ever offered by this Court, this Creditor must not ever being adjudicated as bankrupt and out of bankruptcy, until resolves all claims by all Creditors.

Dated: June 30, 2020

Respectfully submitted,

isiCo T

Riebeling

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 8310 Filed: 07/07/20 Entered: 07/08/20 12:16:17 Page 4 of

6

## PROOF OF SERVICE OF DOCUMENT

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding. My business address is: P.O. Box HD, Barstow, CA 92311

A true and correct copy of MOTION FOR RELIEF FRO	f the foregoing document of MAUTOMATIC STAY; DEC	entitled (specify): RELIEF FROM STAY COVER SHEET; CLARATION AND REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE IN SUPPORT OF
		OTOR IN PRO SE; NOTICE OF HEARING ON MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM AUTOMATI
		OF HEARING ON THE MOTION; NOTICE APPEARANCE AND REQUEST FOR NOTICE
		ambers in the form and manner required by LBR 5005-2(d); and (b) in
the manner stated below:	, , , , -	
<ol> <li>TO BE SERVED BY T</li> </ol>	HE COURT VIA NOTICE	OF ELECTRONIC FILING (NEF): Pursuant to controlling General
		erved by the court via NEF and hyperlink to the document. On (date)
, l ched	ked the CM/ECF docket f	for this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding and determined that
the following persons are	on the Electronic Mail Not	tice List to receive NEF transmission at the email addresses stated
below:		
		Contract with the second second
		Service information continued on attached page
o cenven by inited	STATES MAIL.	
2. SERVED BY UNITED		g persons and/or entities at the last known addresses in this bankruptcy
		d correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope in the United States mail,
first slass, postage propos	d and addressed as follow	ws. Listing the judge here constitutes a declaration that mailing to the
inde will be completed by	o later than 24 hours after	the document is filed
juage will be combleted in	Jiatel than 24 hours after	the document is nied.
•		
		Service information continued on attached page
		IGHT MAIL, FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION OR EMAIL (state method
		Civ.P. 5 and/or controlling LBR, on (date), I served
the following persons and	or entities by personal de	elivery, overnight mail service, or (for those who consented in writing to
		d/or email as follows. Listing the judge here constitutes a declaration
	or overnight mail to, the ju	udge will be completed no later than 24 hours after the document is
filed.		
		•
•		
•		
		Service information continued on attached page
l doctoro undor nonalty of	norium under the laws of	the United Cinter that the foresting in terms and a second
declare under penalty of	perjury under the laws of	the United States that the foregoing is true and correct.
	•	SI 1 1/0/2 1
Doto Shirley Holcro	Printed Name	Sturley Holeroft
Date	riintea матів	Signature /
This form is mandate	ory. It has been approved for use	se by the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Central District of California

F 9013-3.1.PROOF.SERVICE

June 2012





Retail

P

**US POSTAGE PAID** 

\$15.05

Origin: 92311 06/30/20 0505160311-30

PRIORITY MAIL 2-DAY ®

3 Lb 0.70 Oz

1025

EXPECTED DELIVERY DAY: 07/03/20

<del>66</del>64

SHIP

TO:

450 GOLDEN GATE AVE FL 16 SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102-3426

To sche

USPS TRACKING®NUMBER



9505 5150 6872 0182 2823 58

PS00011000000

WCJECTED RY

PRIORITY MAIL MEDIUM FLAT RATE POSTAGE REQUIRED

JUL 0 2 2020

PRIORITY \* MAIL \*



VISIT US AT USPS.COM® ORDER FREE SUPPLIES ONLINE

FROM:



Shirley Holcroft P.O. Box Hd Barstow, CA 92312

TO:

United States Bankruptcy Court For The Northern District Of California, San Francisco Division 450 Golden Gate Avenue, 16 th Floor, San Francisco, California 94102

Label 228, March 2016

FOR DOMESTIC AND INTERNATIONAL USE

case: 19-30088 Doc# 8310 Filed: 07/07/20 Entered: 07/08/20 12:16:17 Page 6 of

6